THE LONDON BOMBINGS OF 7/7 (7th July 2005)

A talk given to the Howard Zinn Society at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (July 2016)

By

Anthony Cruikshank B.A. M.A. M.B.A. PHD Honorary Fellow, Christ Church, Oxford Visiting Professor; Harvard University

7/7 A TIME CHART

| A.M. 7.10 | On 7 th July 2005, London was rocked by a series of bomb blasts resulting in more than 50 deaths. |
|--------------|---|
| | A Northern line train tells its passengers to get off at Balham. They see a dozen men examining the bottom of a train in front. |
| 8.25 | A fire engine parks outside Caledonian Road station. |
| 8.43 | Israeli intelligence service (London office) is alerted to a pending terror strike. |
| 8.49 | Explosions on 3 underground trains. |
| 9.47 | Explosion on the No 30 bus. Tavistock Square. |
| 10.30 | Three men executed at Canary Wharf |

"The only way that the few can control the many is by deception on an unimaginable scale."

(John Hamer: The Falsification of History, 2015)

1 <u>THE FALSE FLAGS</u>

I would like to suggest that what is really at stake in the current "War on Terror" is a conflict between two completely different theories of knowledge , and thus of power.

The first position is the basis of all religions and all philosophy . They teach us that metaphysical <u>truth</u> is indeed available to those who strive for it. Does not Philosophy mean "the love of wisdom?"

The other position is that knowledge is a complete illusion, created like shadows and images by the play of power. In other words, there is no such thing as truth, or goodness, and justice is simply what the powerful seize for themselves. Exempt from morality the elite take power covertly and rule by foisting big lies upon the masses.

The second position, that there is no truth, only power, derives from Nietzsche, became fashionable in many universities via "Post Modernism" and is very much in evidence if one studies the writings of very influential American "neocon" politicians such as Paul Wolfowitz and Richard Erie. It is such figures who are forever preaching about the "clash of civilisations" i.e. the West is threatened by the uncivilised barbarians, especially Muslims. Thus, events such as 9/11 and 7/7 are grist to their mill.

My thesis is outrageously simple. By googling "Peter Power, 7/7" any bright twelve-year-old can quickly learn, beyond any reasonable doubt that the 7/7 bombings was an obvious and bare faced "inside job."

Peter Power appeared on the BBC on the evening of 7/7 and explained that his security firm had been running an anti-terror drill that by a fluke co-incidence mimicked the <u>actual</u> terror attacks including the exact times and locations where the bombs went off. The odds of an actual attack matching the drill of this kind are about one in a billion.

The vast majority of people, as with the death of JFK and 9/11 say "We can never really know what happened." But the unspoken corollary of this is "If I <u>knew</u> it was an inside job I would have to take action. This would be very uncomfortable, even painful. Therefore, I must ensure that I don't really know that it was an inside job." The knowledge that we could be lied to so horribly is heart breaking, not just scary. But the notion that mass terror can be inflicted by States should lead us, courageously, to a Copernican revolution in consciousness.

The American analyst Webster Tarplay explains that FALSE FLAG events are not the work of their seeming perpetrators but have been made to look as if they are. People are much easier to manage if they live in fear. Dick Cheney went as far as to say that to have a society, one must have an enemy. For years during the Cold War the communists were easily portrayed as the enemy of the West, nowadays it is radical Islam. And, of course massive defense/war budgets must be justified.

I have listed many false flag events in an appendix so will mention just two here. Firstly, the FBI have <u>admitted</u> that they provided the explosives for the 1993 bombing of the World Trade Center.

The most chilling historical document I have ever seen is headed "Operation Northwoods". It horrified JFK so much that he refused to authorize it. Small wonder. The plans involved bombing cities including Miami and Washington; hijacking a plane containing American citizens, replacing it with a large drone plane, blowing it up, and blaming all this mayhem and carnage on Cuba. Not only was the author of the plan not prosecuted as a traitor; he is buried in the cemetery for US heroes, Arlington.

If you believe that 19 Islamic fanatics caused 9/11 and 4 young Muslims caused 7/7 then you still might be prepared as to why the respective governments saw no need for any inquiry to ascertain guilt.

So, how does state terrorism work? In brief, "War Games" suddenly become too real. An illegal operation is covered by a drill. Once the apparatus is set up, it is only necessary to make small adjustments to have the exercise go "live".

Such terrorism is intrinsically an activity which is controlled by a fact of government, often influenced by powerful financiers who are generally the ultimate source of authority. Nothing is called by its real name but war is the agenda. It is amazing how few history students ever seem to notice that their country fights wars but only ever with extreme reluctance; when the bad guys have done something dastardly, as at Pearl Harbour.

Pentagon Document "Field Manual 3OB" explicitly discussed launching terrorist attacks. The Pentagon was authorized to simulate terror events so the USA could counter attack. This "Proactive, Pre-emptive Operations Group" led by Donald Rumsfield emerged into the light of day in 2002, with an annual budget of \$100m. Meanwhile, do remember that no-one has ever been even arrested let alone tried or convicted for 9/11, fifteen years on. Instead, people who should have been suspects have been promoted. There are deep connections, I believe, in the logic and in the event construction between 7/7 and 9/11.

2 <u>THE BOMBINGS</u>

Why has there been no public inquiry into 7/7, the worst attack on London since the Blitz? The official answer can fit into just one sentence. Such an inquiry would "cost too much; take too long and would only tell us what we already know."

There was a very brief inquest in 2006. Observers were particularly shocked by the sheer number of accounts of people having their legs or feet blown off in the blasts. This is hardly what one would expect from the homemade ruck-sack bombs – but exactly what one would expect if strong explosives has been planted underneath the carriages of the Tube Trains.

In 2008 there was a (so called) "Trial" at the very start of which the jury was <u>told</u> "There is no doubt whatsoever that Messrs Khan, Tanweer, Lindsay and Hussain were responsible for the 7/7 bombings." Yet, after six months the jury could not find these Muslims guilty.

In brief, it can be <u>scientifically proved</u> (words I have chosen very carefully) that the alleged 7/7 bombers could not possibly have been present both in the Luton station CCTV images <u>and</u> in the King's Cross CCTV images as presented for that awful morning and reprinted by the newspapers.

Why? The reason is simple. The key train we were all told the bombers had taken from Luton, the 7.40am was actually canceled that day. For the first time ever, it was canceled. This one fact causes the government's official story to completely unravel.

Remember Peter Power who was conducting an anti-terror drill on 7/7? Let me take you back a full year <u>before</u> 7/7 to a BBC Panorama program... in which Peter can be seen explaining a drill he was planning in which four bombs go off in London... 3 on the underground trains (yes, you've guessed it) one on a bus.

So we have his drill of 2004 and the same drill planned for 7/7. Astonishingly it later emerged at the very brief inquest that there was also two other drills prior to 7/7 that used as their template the same scenario of three underground bombings and one over ground.

Hence we now have a group of about 1000 public servants practicing <u>four times</u> for the EXACT CIRCUMSTANCES that prevailed on the morning of 7/7!

Let us move to July 6th, the day before the bombings. The authorities made a decision "Where to house the dead." A special room was set up to receive the dead. The mortuary was quickly set up near Aldgate station, where one of the bombs was soon to explode.

(One is reminded of the big FEMA vans (Federal Emergency Management Agency) that arrived to begin clearing up the carnage on 9/11. They actually arrived in New York on Monday evening the day before the towers went down.)

The police claimed to have found Gervais Lindsay's passport and <u>also</u> his driving license <u>and</u> his certificate of mobile phone insurance. We have never been told how Lindsay's body was totally destroyed yet his paper documents were somehow invulnerable. Perhaps there is a Jihad training manual with instructions on how to get into Paradise – "Don't forget to take your driving license... Otherwise how can we be sure who you are? Your passport is not enough these days."

There is another massive contradiction which most of the public do not seem to have spotted. On the evening of 7/7 forensic experts all agreed that very powerful military grade explosives had been used and had been remotely detonated; hence the appalling carnage. Traces of the explosives, detonators and timers had been found.

However, one week later we were told that Muslim youths had brewed up a home- made explosive mix of hydrogen peroxide and black pepper, in a bathtub in Leeds. How an earth could alleged findings in Leeds be allowed to invalidate the conclusions of experts in London? Yet the Leeds bath story has won the day and is now the official record.

Meanwhile, spare a thought for the police who were instructed to put up their yellow tape in Tavistock Square <u>before</u> the bus exploded. The only possible explanation for this is that they must have assumed they were part of a drill and thus have been startled as the rest of us when the event "went live".

As for being startled...aren't you glad you weren't driving the bus? Actually the driver had stopped and got off the bus just before the explosion. Why? To ask directions, as he was lost. Imagine, an experienced London bus driver feeling "lost" when close to Euston station.

On July 8th the police confidently announced that the alleged suicide bombers had caught the 7.40 train from Luton to King's Cross. This fact was reported nationally in all of the media.

On July 16th a CCTV picture of "the four bombers" at Luton station was released. It's time stamp was 7.22am. The Luton to Kings Cross train takes 36 minutes so the 7.40 train would usually arrive at King's Cross at 8.16. A CCTV picture of the 4 at King's Cross was released; time stamped at 8.26am.

But, as we now know, the 7.40am from Luton was canceled. Furthermore, the next train from Luton was delayed and only arrived at King's Cross at 8.42am.

In other words, the three doomed trains had already left King's Cross before the 4 bombers had even arrived from Luton.

So, there was no way they were on King's Cross CCTV at 8.26am. No train was capable of getting a passenger onto both CCTV images, Luton and King's Cross.

On the blurred Luton photograph there was no rucksacks visible. One lad looks like he is carrying his sandwiches. Another has a railing passing through his head! Specialists have easily verified that it is a doctored picture, cut and pasted. Notice, too that the story of the two CCTV images emerged even though no journalist had seen either of them. Journalists simply printed everything the police told them.

At 5.30pm Tony Blair the Prime Minister adamantly told the British people "We know who did this did so in the name of Islam."

Even as Blair was speaking, the British Police and media were dismissing any notion of suicide bombers. Explosives with timers had been planted and these had been remotely detonated.

Then, on July 13th this official story suddenly changed completely. Now it was all about a home brewed explosive made in a Leeds bathtub. The tub became infamous but was never shown or photographed and no charge was ever pinned on its owner.

So, how did the police suddenly get interested in Leeds, Yorkshire? They said they had found not only 3 pieces of Lindsay's ID intact but also the driving license of Habbib Hussein from the wreckage of the bus at Tavistock Square. That is particularly interesting as Hussein could not drive and had never held a driving license.

Suddenly, then all the public's attention was on the home brewers from Leeds; the "suicide bombers" with their rucksacks. Meanwhile the amazing Peter Power had just happened to have been conducting anti-terror drills at the same three tube stations being targeted, on the same day of the explosions and at the same time. (The very same drill, you will remember he had conducted on 3 previous occasions!)

Instead of just musing "how likely is that?" I'm sure all the mathematicians here at MIT can do better. Let us see.

There are 275 London Tube Stations , so let us assume that any of these could have been targeted. The sum is then $3/275 \ge 2/274 \ge 1/273$ (i.e one in 3 million).

But, let us suppose for the sake of argument that two anti-terror drills are conducted each year and they would take place on one of London's 260 working days. Thus, if Peter Power picked the correct day <u>purely by</u> <u>change/coincidence</u>, for his exercise, it adds a further 2/260 improbability factor, making the probability of chance occurrence ONE IN 400 MILLION.

We can <u>prove</u> that a group of about 1000 public servants practiced four times for the <u>exact</u> circumstances that prevailed on that terrible morning. The media as usual have failed to report these staggering facts.

Does it not eventually become reasonable to suppose that the people who organized all those near identical drills also organized the EVENT itself; or do you rubbish that as a wacky "conspiracy theory?"

Let us look at more forensic evidence. The evidence produced by the post mortems on the victims of 7/7. Not possible, I'm afraid. Not until 5 years later, at a brief inquest did horrified lawyers acting on behalf of the victim's families get to know that not a single post mortem had been performed on behalf of the dead.

This is most definitely against the law. All bodies believed to have suffered an unnatural death must by law undergo a post mortem examination. What then

could possibly explain this astonishing decision? The greatest modern act of mass murder on British soil and no-one was entrusted in collecting precise evidence of cause of death. So much could have been learned about the explosions and the explosives from such detailed medical examinations.

Remember, the official story now was that the explosives were made of black pepper and hydrogen peroxide. Any chemist would know that this is ludicrous. And how would a rucksack hold enough of such a concoction to produce the energy to break steel bands? Also, how could they possibly test that their "bombs" were actually going to explode on demand? Were they simply all going to go trampling across the city with this allegedly lethal mixture swilling around in their backpacks? It is also a mystery why they would choose to kill themselves – why not just leave the rucksacks somewhere and detonate them?

No, it is abundantly clear that proper post mortems would have confirmed the original official story that military grade explosives were used and 4 young terrorists would not have had access to these. Still, if the narrative of suicide bombers is to be official, then we must have the black pepper and bathtub scenario.

Anyway, what <u>did</u> we learn from the very brief inquest held five years after 7/7? Much of its energy and time was devoted to locating the 4 alleged suicide bombers. Yet there is no evidence at all to place them at the 4 blast centres. Noone seems to have seen them. London is the most densely CCTV'd area of planet earth, yet there are no pictures.

But witnesses to the Aldgate bombing all agreed that a massive hole was blown in the floor of the carriage. All of the survivors were adamant that the damage was caused by a force from <u>outside</u> the carriage. Fireballs and flames were seen outside. There was no mention of any Muslim man with a rucksack in the carriage.

At Edgeware Road there again was a huge hole blown in the floor of the carriage. The train driver and the passengers felt the train being lifted up by the blast. Two survivors actually fell through the hole in the floor, onto the track.

At Russell Square another huge hole was blasted in the floor of the carriage. It was here that a clever policeman found Lindsay's undamaged passport, driving license and certificate of phone insurance; but no Mr Lindsay.

If no post mortems, why not an intensive examination of the damaged carriages. Not possible. These were shunted away before the end of 7/7 and were scrapped within weeks.

What about the Tavistock Square bus explosion which happened in broad daylight with lots of people around including many police and transport police? The official Story is that Hussein got onto that bus and exploded his bomb. To show how the government's story is impossible to believe it is essential to look at the narrative in some detail.

We are told that Hussein left King's Cross at 9.22am to catch a 91 bus on which travelled the short distance westwards to Euston station where he dismounted. Had he stayed on the bus it would gone to Tavistock Square. That was the normal route of the 91 bus.

However, he did not stay on it. At 9.30am at Euston, he caught a number 30 bus which would then <u>normally</u> go back to exactly where he had just come from; King's Cross.

Pause for a moment and imaging you are Hussein. You want to blow yourself up in Tavistock Square, so you'd stay on the 91 bus which goes there, day in day out. Why on earth would you get off, get on a number 30 bus which would take you back to where you'd just come from? The sequence of events, at first sight, makes no sense at all.

We must assume that Hussein did not have the psychic powers, so how on earth would he have known that, for the first time ever, that particular number 30 bus was going to be diverted to go, not back to King's Cross but to Tavistock Square, which was already being taped off by police?

How strange; the only number 30 bus in the history of the number 30 bus route to be diverted and it <u>explodes</u> (in Tavistock Square).

All that makes sense, I suggest, is that Hussein was <u>instructed</u> to get off the 91 and get on the 30 bus... and that it had been primed to explode. The thought of him trundling back to King's Cross on a normal 30 bus is too inane and implausible to even contemplate.

Let's look at the CCTV cameras on that exploding bus, the diverted number 30. By now you are probably guessing that, somehow, no CCTV images from the bus have "appeared". However, Stagecoach, the bus company said all four of the cameras were working and that the hard drives were passed to the police. However, a week after 7/7, testimony from an anonymous Stagecoach employee appeared saying that the particular 30 bus had received "special treatment", the previous weekend. Furthermore, a contractor had come to inspect the CCTV on the depot's buses and spent 20 hours that weekend doing so. The person was not a regular contractor.

Four cameras per bus is impressive, if they are working. One wonders how many CCTV cameras are at the Pentagon. Hundreds? Yet no-one has seen a picture of the 9/11 crash. There is a petrol station opposite the crash site. It's CCTV camera was working on 9/11 but the film was confiscated by FBI agents within minutes of the incident.

Finally, what about the supposed "power surges" which supposedly caused all the mayhem on 7/7? If one re-runs all the TV news bulletins, they are dominated by the narrative of "power surges". It was hours before bombs were even mentioned. But a "power surge" would merely have blown fuses which would have only halted the trains. Such surges do not lead to explosions Electricity does not explode, or rip holes in the floor of the train carriages.

Mention of bizarre news bulletins lead one to discuss an event which happened some miles away from the tube station on the morning of 7/7. An event which has been almost completely airbrushed from history. Down "the memory hole" as Orwell called it.

3 <u>THE EXECUTIONS</u>

That morning of 7/7 an incident was briefly mentioned on ITV news but has never been aired since. How strange, for the report boldly stated:

"Three of the terrorists involved in this morning' bombings have been shot and killed, at Canary Wharf, by anti-terrorist officers"

The executions took place at 10:30am, hours after the tube explosions. How would men blow themselves up on the tube trains then re-appear hours later at Canary Wharf to be shot dead? Small wonder that ((% of the British public have no knowledge of this Canary Wharf episode.

One more time, let us return to the anti-terror supremo, the aptly named Peter Power who was simulating the attacks that day, as he had done before, using up to 1000 people including actors/terrorists, obviously. Four trustworthy mock villains were needed. We do know that one of the supposed 7/7 bombers Mohammed Khan trusted the police and was trusted by them as he had been regularly called upon by them to help sort out gang rivalry problems in Leeds. He was also a friend of a Leeds MP.

I am suggesting that it is quite possible that the 4 men agreed to be "mock terrorists" that day. We do know that they brought return tickets from Luton. However, the canceled train meant that they had arrived at King's Cross too late to get on the trains they were supposed to mock bomb. (Hussain split off as he still had time to catch his number 30 bus as part of the drill).

They then get to hear news of real bombs going off. Des the horrible truth dawn on them, that they have been set up, as "patsies?" The entire mobile phone network had gone down. Did they flee eastwards to Canary Wharf? Why flee there? It is the site of the main news agency Reuters and of many of the leading printed media outlets.

The journey from King's Cross to Canary Wharf takes 75 minutes.

The executions (ITV told us) took place at 10.30am. But until about 11.00am the story being announced to the public was <u>still</u> about "power surges" on the London underground. Hours after bombings and now after executions, multiple news outlets still waffling about problems with the electricity supply. (Imagine what a public inquiry would make of all this). The nonsense was still being spouted an hour after the bus had exploded. One can imagine native American smoke signals or a bush telegraph communicating news more efficiently. So why would such a lame and unscientific take keep getting repeated every few minutes?

Here we can only conjecture that those controlling the operation were not willing to risk the "bomber" story until the patsies were executed and unable to speak to anyone, especially Reuters or newspaper men working cheek by jowl at Canary Wharf.

The men were executed with "shots to the head". The reason for this was that they were "wearing explosives". Rather vague sounding, but a necessary pretext for head shots. But is not Canary Wharf one of the b usiest areas of London? Of course. That morning 8000 office workers were "kettled" in their offices and forbidden to come outside their buildings for six hours. When they were eventually all allowed to leave they were told not to take their cars. This was obviously a very serious incident. The air brushing swiftly continued. No more news bulletins about Canary Wharf were issued. The following day a CNN reporter asked Brian Paddick of the Metropolitan Police to comment on "rumours" that his men had shot dead some suicide bombers at Canary Wharf. Paddick was able to reply "We have no reports of any police sniper shooting at anybody". Disingenious, but not technically a lie as the shooters would not have been regular policemen.

My conjecture that the 3 men fled to Canary Wharf is based on a 7/7 documentary called "The Ripple Effect" by Muad Dib. For making it, Dib was extradited from Ireland and imprisoned in Wandsworth jail. One journalist, John Anthony Hill had described the documentary as "perhaps the most brilliant example of documentary film making I have ever viewed in my entire life – and it also gives us a template for understanding 9/11."

CONCLUSION

Darth Vader, The Incredible Hulk and Batman are, of course, far more famous than Peter Power. If 7/7 was a Hollywood film with people like Bruce Willis or Denzil Washington in it, the level of people's concentration, their attention to detail, certainly their awareness and criticism of holes in the plot would be astonishingly acute. One only has to scan the internet chat for months before the debut of a new Star Wars or James Bond movie.

However, most people are just not willing <u>at all</u> to credit elements of their government with complicity in domestic terrors. It sounds too evil to even contemplate. Who would want to frame 4 Muslim youngsters with doctored, bogus photographs played and replayed in the background to news reports, over and over again.

A full year after 7/7 the Home Secretary finally had to admit that the 7.40am train to King's Cross, from Luton had not ran that day. Yet the official narrative had claimed to have CCTV footage of the lads getting onto that "ghost train" plus witnesses in the same non-existent train who noticed them; four Muslims carrying rucksacks.

But there was no train. So you will not be too surprised that there has been no public inquiry.